



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

now

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/425,271 10/21/99 TOUTOUNTZIS

V T257.312-000

000164
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING
312 SOUTH THIRD STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415-1002

PM82/0816

EXAMINER

CANFIELD, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED: 08/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/425,271

Applicant(s)
Toutountzis

Examiner
Robert Canfield

Group Art Unit
3635



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Canfield

(3) _____

(2) Jeff Shewchuk

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 15, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner directed Mr. Schewchuk to MPEP 2590 (37 CFR 1.378) to file a petition to address rejection under 251 concerning maintenance fee for original patent. Examiner stated that a response to Office was required along with petition and a request to suspend prosecution until petition was decided. Also discussed reentry of original claims (from parent reissue application), and recapture rejection. Examiner stated that original claims must be reentered with original claim numbering and the addition of a numerical dimensional limitation would appear to overcome recapture rejection. Further discussed that supplemental declaration and original patent were required before application could be allowed but that these were not necessarily required in the next response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

ROBERT CANFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3635

Paper No. 4